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ABSTRACT

Objective: Today's rapidly evolving socioeconomic context makes the concept of social business models an attractive means of tackling serious global concerns without sacrificing profitability. Bringing together for-profit enterprise and social impact projects, social business models provide a novel approach to generating beneficial social and economic change. There are many obstacles that must be overcome to put into action social business models, such as determining the optimal balance between financial viability and social impact, securing initial investment and funding, negotiating regulatory complexities, encouraging stakeholder collaboration, and measuring and effectively communicating impact.

Method: An iterative process involving design thinking, impact assessment frameworks, and stakeholder engagement methodologies has been developed; it is called Triple-Bottom-Iterative Design (TBID) for Holistic Impact Assessment. This method's primary objective is to help start-ups, enterprises, and non-profits design comprehensive models that balance profit with social good and have iterative feedback loops for constant enhancement. The healthcare, agricultural, energy, educational, and technological industries represent some of the many that have found success using social business models.

Result: Optimizing resource allocation, risk management, and impact forecasting with the help of simulation analysis helps social business efforts succeed in the long run.

Conclusion: Stakeholders may improve the design and execution of these models and contribute to a more sustainable and equitable future by comprehending the significance, overcoming challenges, adopting innovative methodologies, investigating applications, and utilizing simulation analyses.
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MODELOS DE NEGÓCIOS SOCIAIS: CRIANDO IMPACTO SUSTENTÁVEL ATRAVÉS DE SOLUÇÕES LUCRATIVAS

RESUMO

Objetivo: O contexto socioeconômico em rápida evolução de hoje torna o conceito de modelos de negócios sociais um meio atrativo para lidar com sérias preocupações globais sem sacrificar a rentabilidade. Reunindo projetos de impacto social e empresarial com fins lucrativos, os modelos de negócios sociais fornecem uma nova abordagem para gerar mudanças sociais e econômicas benéficas. Há muitos obstáculos que devem ser superados para pôr em prática modelos de negócios sociais, como determinar o equilíbrio ideal entre viabilidade financeira e impacto social, garantir investimento e financiamento iniciais, negociar complexidades regulamentares, incentivar a colaboração das partes interessadas e medir e comunicar eficazmente o impacto.

Método: Foi desenvolvido um processo iterativo que envolve o pensamento de projeto, estruturas de avaliação de impacto e metodologias de envolvimento das partes interessadas; é chamado de Projeto Iterativo Triplo-Inferior (TBID) para Avaliação de Impacto Holístico. O principal objetivo deste método é ajudar start-ups, empresas e organizações sem fins lucrativos a projetar modelos abrangentes que equilibram o lucro com o bem social e têm loops de feedback iterativos para melhoria constante. Os setores de saúde, agricultura, energia, educação e tecnologia representam alguns dos muitos que obtiveram sucesso usando modelos de negócios sociais.

Resultado: a otimização da alocação de recursos, do gerenciamento de riscos e da previsão de impacto com a ajuda da análise de simulação ajuda os esforços de negócios sociais a serem bem-sucedidos a longo prazo.

Conclusão: As partes interessadas podem melhorar o design e a execução desses modelos e contribuir para um futuro mais sustentável e equitativo, compreendendo a importância, superando desafios, adotando metodologias inovadoras, investigando aplicativos e utilizando análises de simulação.

Palavras-chave: modelos de negócios sociais, soluções sustentáveis e lucrativas, design iterativo, impacto holístico.

1 INTRODUCTION

Businesses' major motivation has historically been profit, even if it meant ignoring the needs of society and the environment at times [1]. Income disparity, environmental degradation, and insufficient access to key services for vulnerable populations are just some of the major global concerns that have been exacerbated by this strategy [2]. The issue is the common perception that pursuing financial gain and addressing pressing societal needs are mutually exclusive [3]. Traditional corporate structures have often maintained a unidirectional strategy that puts profit maximization ahead of social and environmental considerations [4]. This has resulted in the exhaustion of natural resources, worsened socioeconomic inequality, and intensified problems such as climate change [5]. There is a growing urgency to this issue because the globe is facing interrelated problems...
that cannot be resolved by profit-driven initiatives alone [6]. Social business models aim to alter the conventional wisdom about how firms function and produce value. These models provide a novel approach to the issue by rethinking what constitutes commercial success [7,17]. Social business models internalize concerns about society and the environment rather than dismissing them as irrelevant to core business. The goal is to establish a mutually beneficial connection between a company's bottom line and its positive effect on society, proving that firms can be successful while simultaneously doing good [8]. This discussion area seeks to address the issue head-on by offering novel approaches, concepts, and examples from the real world. It promotes the use of "social business models," which allow companies to solve social and environmental problems while making a profit [9]. The goal is to show that it is possible to prosper economically without sacrificing core principles or causing harm to people or the environment. The ultimate objective is to have corporations play a role in bringing about a more equal, honestly, and sustainable world [10,18].

The wide range of methods already in use within the field of have the same overarching goal: to find ways to profitably incorporate social and environmental concerns into business operations [11,19]. These methods recognize that conventional approaches to maximizing profits are insufficient to solve the problems facing society. Resistance to change, balancing financial viability with social effect, assessing intangible advantages, harmonizing stakeholder expectations, legal impediments, and negotiating possible conflicts between profit and purpose are all difficulties that arise when putting these strategies into practice. Another recurring difficulty is encouraging a cultural shift in which companies and the general public begin to place equal value on social and environmental contributions as they do on financial ones. While current methods have interesting prospects for incorporating social effect into business models, they also each have their own unique difficulties to overcome. Adopting these methods effectively necessitates delving into the specifics of a certain situation, thinking creatively about how to address problems, and working together with relevant parties. The objective of the research is:

- The research emphasizes how social business models create a unique bridge between for-profit firms and social impact activities. The main goal is to show that beneficial social and economic transformation can be profitable. Social business concepts address global issues by balancing financial viability with social
effect. This integration fits the changing socioeconomic situation and challenges the idea that corporate success is primarily evaluated by profits.

- The study emphasizes the difficulty of balancing financial viability and social effect in social company strategies. It understands that such models must reach this equilibrium to succeed and survive. To address global issues and ensure profitability, creative business concepts are needed. Understanding profit-generation and social impact dynamics can help firms build and implement models that achieve both aims, creating a more equitable and sustainable future.

- The research introduces the Triple-Bottom-Iterative Design (TBID) method, its main goal. This strategy uses design thinking, impact evaluation, and stakeholder involvement iteratively. To help start-ups, businesses, and non-profits improve their social business models. These models can adapt to changing conditions and improve their social good and profitability by including feedback loops. The goal is to teach how to build, refine, and implement social business models for enduring effect.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section II provides a literature review of Social Business Models, highlighting the current status of the field and identifying areas where more study is needed. Our proposed TBID has been set forth in Section III, along with its CSTPS, or Creating Sustainable Impact Through Profitable Solutions. Section IV presents the results and analysis of the experiments, as well as debates and comparisons to earlier approaches. The ultimate result is presented in section 5.

2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

For the purpose of better comprehending the many models that aim to combine economic growth with empowerment and inclusivity, a number of conceptual frameworks have arisen in the field of socially minded initiatives. Each of these models captures a different part of the complex relationship that exists among economic growth, societal benefit, and long-term sustainability. The present research intends to shed light on the practical implications of these frameworks for promoting positive change by conducting a comprehensive literature review and analysis.

The social business model (SBM) [12] was developed by Mahfuz Ashraf, M., et al., and it provides an objective comparison of the SBM to seemingly comparable ideas
like social entrepreneurship, non-governmental organization (NGO), and corporate social responsibility from the perspectives of empowerment and economic growth. An extensive literature search, analysis, and review served as the foundation for this investigation. Narrative synthesis was used to compile the literature on a wide variety of socially focused models, frameworks, and interventions. This research focused on the five main components of a social enterprise: its goals and outputs, its features, its operation, its use of resources, and its impact on the environment.

Sustainable business models for inclusive growth (SBM-IG) [13] were proposed by G. C. Schoneveld to advance the conversation around inclusive businesses by providing a conceptual framework for what it means to be(come) one. Inclusionary firms, the paper contends, must give more weight to value creation than wealth capture, and should be evaluated according to the net value they generate for 'income-constrained' communities.

For the purpose of addressing the strategy, legitimacy, and governance difficulties faced by social companies, as well as resolving the mission measurement conundrum, Sparviero, S. introduced the Social Enterprise Model Canvas (SEMC) [14]. Also of relevance is the fact that the SEMC is a platform that may be utilized to avoid 'mission drifts' caused by the mismanagement of such difficulties, which is a common problem for the social entrepreneurs that face them. The main characteristics of SEMC that set it apart from the Business Model Canvas (BMC) are its focus on social value and its building blocks that account for non-targeted stakeholders, principles of governance, customer and beneficiary participation, core values, intermediate and short-term goals, and impact and output indicators.

The paper presents and defines customer relationship management (CRM) [15] as critical to the success of a start-up, as proposed by Gil-Gomez, H. et al. If our predictions are correct, then the model's validation will reveal how the advantages of CRM can amplify the positive effects of its parts on each metric of sustainability. This study design has the potential to inform a more nuanced methodology for gauging the results and payoffs of using CRM, which we will define as including positive effects on both long-term business viability and creative problem solving.

To differentiate between merely commercial and socially conscious company models, Spieth, P. et al. developed the business model perspective (BMP) [16]. By
relating our research to the existing literature, one can hope to shed light on the topic of social business models and their practical ramifications.

A Triple-Bottom-Iterative Design (TBID) for Holistic Impact Assessment have surfaced to shed light on the complex landscape of socially minded models, all with the goal of bringing economic development into harmony with social empowerment and inclusiveness. This all-encompassing investigation of these separate frameworks presents a holistic view of the developing landscape of socially minded enterprises, illuminating the interplay between business innovation, social impact, and long-term growth from a variety of angles.

3 METHODOLOGY

Companies with a social purpose utilize market-based strategies to tackle social issues. The ultimate goal is to assist charities become economically viable, in order that they don't have to rely only on donations and grants. Because there are no shareholders in a charitable organization, all of the revenue made by the social business goes to further its cause.

Nonprofits' fresh ability to produce earnings requires a business structure in which the principles of the business world (competition, growth, innovative thinking, entrepreneurship, and an emphasis on the bottom line) can be integrated in those of the government sector (the response to the belonging, the greater good, etc.). An effective plan for business is essential for the success of any good venture.

A company's resource method lays off where and under what conditions the company will gather the assets (both monetary and human beings) that it requires to carry out its work, while its day-to-day method entails its internal organizational framework and external partnerships that are essential for developing the the company's intended effect.

Utilizing the structure of the circular company, the present study offers a long-term strategy for conducting business. The study utilizes a business model-based approach while making use of two separate types of information addressing value. Manufacturers and customers are two possibilities for these inputs. Jobs describe the goals that customers have in their work and personal lives. Customer feedback concerning a product's intended but unfulfilled purpose is a crucial driver for fresh job creation. Gains
describe the intended effects on the customer. Earnings are generated when buyers share their positive experiences using already available items.

Figure 1: Manufacturing system with a Sustainable Business Model.

The potential revenue that is yet to be utilized is often referred to as "value uncaptured" (VU). The recognition of materials, problems with quality, and additional reasons for manufacturing slowness are the basis of creating value. In this instance, "the gain creators" refers to individuals who clarify how manufacturers create benefits for customers. Benefit generators represent consumer feedback on the advantages of using current offerings. Producers will develop added value if they improve their methods to make a superior product. This approach combines methods from the Value Proposition Design (VPD) paradigm and the House of Value (HoV). VPD is an instrument for identifying the causes of growth in the economy, revenue, and jobs. Meanwhile, HoV is an instruction manual for aligning the layout of the item with the customer's demands. The uniqueness of this model lies in the amalgamation of both of these approaches. The use of the systemic viewpoint helps to understand this framework.

The VU is triggered by inefficiency and incompetence in the production process. With this plan of action in place, VU may turn into VC. To tackle this issue, companies use an environmentally friendly business approach (which is also referred to as a Circular Business strategy or CBM). In this setting, "sustainability" means any company
procedures that address the relationship among profit, people, and the planet (3P). CBM is carried out utilizing VPD and HoV. Work, revenue generation, and the drivers of growth in the economy are monitored and visualized with the assistance of VPD. This method's final phase is the matching process. In the present study, the HoV method was employed for the blending procedure. The examiner uses the House of Value (HoV) matching method in HoV since the worth of the case has been employed in the investigation. Figure 1 shows that alongside Value Captured (VC), production processes often generate Value Uncaptured (VU).

\[
C_J K_d_k = \sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{WV_j} \cdot S_{WV_j} K_d_k; k = 1,2, ..., m
\]  
\[
S_J K_d_k = \frac{C_J K_d_k}{Sum}; k = 1, 2, ..., m
\]

Where,

\(X_{WV_j}\) = relative value or relevance of \(WV_j\)
\(C_J K_d_k\) = The crucial role of employment \(k\)
\(S_J K_d_k\) = Jobs' relative significance \(k\)
\(Sum\) = the horizontal tally of the job's relative significance

\[
Sum_{Hm} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} Sum_{Hm_k}
\]
\[
X_{Hm_k} = \frac{Sum_{Hm_k}}{Sum_{Hm}}; k = 1, 2, ..., m.
\]
\[
B_J H_D_k = \sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{Hm_j} \cdot S_{Hm_j} HCD_k
\]

Where,

\(X_{Hm_j}\) \(j\) (the outcome of the pairwise comparison) represents the importance of gains.
\(Hm_j HCD_k\) \(j\) correlation between \(j\) and \(k\) for Gains and GCs
Although there has been substantial advancement achieved with the investigation of business models (BMs), the majority of research has concentrated on big, profit-driven companies in Western settings instead of small and medium-sized enterprises that have charitable objectives. Considering the focus on social innovation (SI) and novel approaches to interpersonal motion, this is surprising. The comprehensive qualitative study indicates that among SMEs employing SI in Vietnam, social, ecological, and cultural values remain as fundamental as their financial worth, but many management models are agnostic about where those values originate from. It led to the creation and examination of an innovative social BM. In order to guarantee the fact that SMEs (small and medium-sized enterprises) consider the beneficial effect on society that SIs might have, this figure 2 (i) determines a Business model for SI in order to guarantee the long-term growth of SI, (ii) proposes a Strategic Planning framework for SI for SMEs, and (iii) establishes strategies for developing and captivate economic, social, cultural, and ecological values.

![Figure 3: Creating the SMB archetypes methodology.](source)

The following part discusses how the representations of sustainable business models originate from academic research and practical instances. All three iterative stages...
of the procedure are shown in Fig. 3: When trying to develop sustainable business model stereotypes, it needs to be done to do the ones that follow: 1. Pick out themes and applicable classifications from the literature; 2. Evaluate different classifications and theories; and 3. Determine management model innovations that promote sustainability from practice. The data was triangulated by making use of various sources in addition to various techniques of gathering, sorting, and coded (iterations to organize the list of instances in useful ways). Coding for the various data sources is unified, with respect given to the limitations and standards laid forth in the Section.

Figure 4: A taxonomy of microsocial enterprises.

Accordingly, this information suggests a social enterprise system of classification that may guide the development of feasible business plans. Alter offers three methods to classify social enterprises: by their concentration on goal, by the extent to which they integrate charitable objectives with business operations, as well as the kind of consumers they mainly target. The combination of commercial and charitable organizations may be broken into three separate stages. These usually line into the kinds of collaboration mentioned above, including charitable, transactional in nature, and integrated collaborations. At the outside level, the relationship is unilateral, like that of a donor assisting someone else; there are no common objectives or synchronized processes. At
the embedded stage, the values that distinguish both types of company have come together at the various stages of planning and carrying out, so that charitable initiatives are managed in the effectiveness typical for private business, and for-profit initiatives are created with care and accountability over others (Figure 4).

Table 1: Strategic framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic framework</th>
<th>The inner workings</th>
<th>A few instances</th>
<th>Important Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helping New Business Owners</td>
<td>Offers business assistance as a product.</td>
<td>Consulting firms, microloans, and tech help desks</td>
<td>Proper education for the business owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs in Market Intermediaries</td>
<td>Facilitate client access to markets through the provision of services.</td>
<td>Fair trade, agricultural, and artisan cooperatives need suppliers.</td>
<td>Low initial investment, local employment opportunities for clients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
<td>Offers customers the chance to get hired, as well as training for new positions, before selling their wares to the general public.</td>
<td>Groups that help people with disabilities or young people get jobs in the landscaping, catering, printing, and other service industries</td>
<td>Appropriateness and economic viability of job training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free-for-service</td>
<td>Providing humanitarian assistance to individuals or organizations that will pay for them.</td>
<td>Institutions requiring membership, such as museums and hospitals</td>
<td>Developing a reasonable pricing structure taking into account potential gains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor customer</td>
<td>Comparable to a fee-for-model, however with a focus on making services available to those who cannot normally pay them.</td>
<td>Prescription drugs, corrective lenses, utility assistance programs</td>
<td>Innovative marketing and advertising strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cost-effective production and operation (union), cooperatives for agriculture, and financial cooperatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High operational efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration</td>
<td>Offers members a variety of advantages through group efforts.</td>
<td>Collective bargaining (union), cooperatives for agriculture, and financial cooperatives</td>
<td>Members share a same goal or need, represent a vital interest group, and contribute financially.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market integration</td>
<td>Promotes clientele's access to external markets for conducting business.</td>
<td>Services including import/export, market analysis, and brokering</td>
<td>Not a retailer, rather a conduit between manufacturers and consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidized services</td>
<td>Produces goods or provides services for sale in order to raise money for other community initiatives. This structure is a combination of for-profit operations and charitable initiatives.</td>
<td>Services like as consulting, psychotherapy, employee education, leasing, printing, etc.</td>
<td>Use either hard (land, buildings, workers) or soft (know-how, processes, connections) capital to your advantage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aid for Organizations</td>
<td>Like service subsidization, but using an external approach in which commercial and social endeavours are kept distinct</td>
<td>Any business model that makes use of its resources should be implemented, just like service subsidization.</td>
<td>Exactly like how services are subsidized.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Prepared by Authors (2023)
4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Scalability and profitability have become increasingly important in today's dynamic corporate environment. Scalability allows businesses to grow beyond their initial scope, and financial viability guarantees the longevity of mission-driven initiatives. Important factors in achieving both scalability and financial sustainability include innovation, technological integration, collaborations, and strategies for allocating resources. The relevance, methods, and transformative consequences of Social Business Models (SBMs) in today's fast-paced corporate climate are dissected as we delve into the many facets of scalability and financial sustainability within SBMs.

Figure 5: Innovation and Scalability Analysis Ratio

Scalability has become increasingly important in today's fast-paced business environment for expanding reach and having a greater overall influence. The ability of a business model to grow and change in a way that takes it beyond than its original constraints is what we mean when we talk about scalability, which goes beyond more traditional measures of success. The present research digs into the many facets of scalability, elaborating on its significance, tactics, and ramifications for businesses that want to expand their reach and impact. The ability to meet growing demands without requiring an equal increase in capacity is the essence of scalability. It's all about expanding the company's reach without incurring proportional increases in time or money. To be truly scalable, a business must be able to effortlessly duplicate its processes, continue delivering its products or services, and keep their quality consistent even as they
grow in size. Integrating technologies becomes a critical factor in scalability. The findings prove that SBMs that make use of scalable technologies like digital platforms, data analytics, and automation can better handle increased volumes of work, a wider range of users, and unchanged levels of efficiency. Technology like this helps small and medium-sized businesses expand their operations by allowing them to access customers in other parts of the world.

Innovative potential is increased for TBID that form partnerships with related organizations, communities, and governments. Greater effect and longer-lasting innovation are the results of collaborative initiatives because of the sharing of resources, information, and skills. Problems that arise when trying to expand through innovation are discussed. While fresh ideas might spur expansion, it takes strategic planning to avoid diluting the organization's focus or reducing its positive social impact in the sake of experimentation. Successful innovations adhere to criteria of long-term viability and broad participation. Figure 5(a) shows a considerable drop in creativity and scalability compared to SBM. However, when compared to TBID, Figure 5(b) shows an apparent improvement in both creativity and scalability. This points to a divergent pattern, with SBM exhibiting a fall and TBID demonstrating an improvement in certain areas.

Finally, the results and discussion come together to show in detail how TBID combine innovation with scalability. These findings inform practitioners, policymakers, and researchers about how to best leverage innovation for sustainable development and positive social change. As long as they stick to their original goals, SBMs can effect global change by encouraging a culture of innovation and making use of technological advancements and strategic alliances.

Figure 6: Financial Viability & Resource Allocation Ratio


Source: Prepared by Authors (2023)
The research's results and conclusions are informed by the researchers' investigation of Social Business Models' (SBMs) economic feasibility and resource allocation. The findings give light on the techniques, problems, and concerns that underpin these crucial characteristics of financial sustainability and social impact. Financial success has been found to be significantly influenced by investment and fundraising techniques. The research shows that TBID can more effectively deploy resources for impactful initiatives if they attract impact investments and receive funding from several sources. The findings imply that these capital infusions allow TBID to expand their operations and increase their societal impact, in addition to providing a financial safety net. The results further highlight the significance of outcome measurement in efficient resource allocation. More effectively allocating resources, social benefit corporations (SBCs) that use strong impact measurements and data-driven decision-making fund activities with the greatest potential to effect positive social change. The importance of openness and responsibility in maximizing an initiative's impact is shown by the connection between metrics and resource distribution. Insight into the intersection of SBM resource allocation and economic sustainability is provided by the results and discussion. To better integrate commercial success and social development, the insights gained from this investigation can guide practitioners, policymakers, and researchers. These results show SBMs' potential to be change agents while being financially viable, pointing to a future in which business models might congruously embrace both profit and purpose. Figure 6(a) illustrates a scenario in which SBM reduces both financial viability and resource allocation. This could make it more difficult to maintain fiscal health and allocate resources effectively when using SBM. Figure 6(b) shows an inverse trend to the previous one. There is a notable improvement in both financial viability and resource allocation when compared to TBID. This indicates that TBID may improve fiscal steadiness and make the best use of available resources.

Furthermore, technological integration becomes a critical component in the process of scaling. This research shows how digital platforms and automation may help SMBs deal with rising demand, expand into new markets, and keep operations running smoothly and efficiently. The impact of innovations developed through partnerships is amplified, and a wider range of communities and problems can be addressed. Business in the modern era is shaped by a number of interrelated factors, including creativity, scalability, economic feasibility, and the allocation of resources. The results provide a
holistic view of the interplay between these factors, shedding light on the topic for practitioners, policymakers, and academics alike. SBMs create a future where profit and purpose combine by being innovative, creating strategic alliances, and aligning financial viability with societal change. This has far-reaching effects on both the economic and social spheres.

5 CONCLUSION

Social business models offer a viable way to address global concerns while preserving profitability since they integrate social and commercial aims. Using design thinking, impact assessment frameworks, and stakeholder interaction to drive continual refinement, companies can successfully navigate the complex environment of social impact initiatives by adopting the Triple-Bottom-Iterative Design (TBID) methodology. Successful applications of these models can be found in fields as diverse as medicine, agriculture, energy, education, and technology. In addition, simulation analysis contributes to the long-term success of social business initiatives by allowing for more deliberate resource allocation, more skilful risk management, and more in-depth effect forecasts. To create a more sustainable and equitable future, stakeholders must work together, armed with knowledge of the models' significance, resilience in the face of obstacles, and the adoption of novel approaches. Stakeholders may shape the development of social business models by exploring a variety of applications and conducting simulation analyses, paving the way for more fair global prosperity.
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